## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

REC'D	0	9	AUG	2005
WIPO				PCT

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	PC01	lle reference	FOR FURTHER	ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416
International application No. PCT/DK2004/000395		International filing da 10.06.2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 12.06.2003	
Internatio	nal Patent Cla	assification (IPC) or nat	ional classification an	d IPC	
H01S3/	06, H01S3/	D63			
Applicant					
		IISKE UNIVERSIT			
		WORL ONVENSI	E!		
1. Thi	is report is th thority under	e international prelin Article 35 and trans	ninary examination	report, established by ant according to Articl	v this International Preliminary Examining
2. Thi	is REPORT	consists of a total of	5 sheets including	this cover short	e 36.
3. Thi	s report is al	so accompanied by	ANNEXES compet	sina:	
a. [	⊠ sent to t	he applicant and to t	he International Bu	sing: <i>reau)</i> a total of 20 sh	
	Adn	imistrative instruction	าธ).	a y mio y tatriority	n amended and are the basis of this report (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the
	☐ shee beyo Supi	ets which supersede and the disclosure in plemental Box	earlier sheets, but the international ap	which this Authority co oplication as filed, as i	onsiders contain an amendment that goes ndicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the
b. E	(sent to t	he International Dur			•
	sequence	e listing and/or tables	s related thereto, In	(Indicate type and nun Computer readable fo	nber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing rm only, as indicated in the Supplemental
	box Hela	iting to Sequence Lis	sting (see Section 8	computer readable fo 02 of the Administrati	ve Instructions).
					•
4. This	report cont	aino indication de u			
** 11113	s rehour cours	ains indications relati	ing to the following		
			•	items:	
⊠ E	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion		items:	
	Box No. I Box No. II	Basis of the opinion Priority	n		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III	Basis of the opinion Priority	n		
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of inve	n of opinlon with reg ention	ard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme	of opinion with reg ention	ard to novelty, inventi	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation	ard to novelty, inventi	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned stateme applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in ti	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove  s supporting such state	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned stateme applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in ti	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove  s supporting such state	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned statement applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove  s supporting such state	
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned statement applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited	ard to novelty, inventing  2) with regard to nove  s supporting such state  blication  al application	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
⊠ E □ E □ E □ E □ E □ E □ E	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned statement applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove  s supporting such state	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
⊠ E □ E □ E □ E □ E □ E □ E	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned statement applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove as supporting such state  blication  all application  Date of completion of	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned stateme applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation demand	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited	ard to novelty, inventing  2) with regard to nove  s supporting such state  blication  al application	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
B E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned statement applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation demand	of opinion with reg ention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove as supporting such state  blication  all application  Date of completion of	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
B E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Box No. VIIII Box No. VIIII Box No. VIIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of invo Reasoned stateme applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in ti Certain observation demand	of opinion with regention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited ne international app as on the internation	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove as supporting such state of completion of 10.08.2005	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
B E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned stateme applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation demand  s of the international hority: Patent Office - P.B. 5811	of opinion with regention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited ne international app s on the internation	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove as supporting such state of such state of the such such such such such such such such	elty, inventive step or industrial tement
B E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E E	Box No. I Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment Lack of unity of involution Reasoned stateme applicability; citation Certain documents Certain defects in the Certain observation demand  s of the international hority: Patent Office - P.B. 5810 7 Rijswijk - Pays Bas 340 - 2040 Tr. 31 651	of opinion with regention nt under Article 35( ns and explanation cited ne international app s on the internation	ard to novelty, invention  2) with regard to nove as supporting such state of completion of 10.08.2005	elty, inventive step or industrial lement  this report

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000395

_	В	ox No. I Basis of the report
1	. W	lith regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was ed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This report is based on translations from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of:
		□ international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) □ publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4) □ international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	. W ha re <sub>l</sub>	ith regard to the <b>elements*</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which we been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this port as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):
	De	scription, Pages
	1-1	5 filed with telefax on 26.04.2005
	Cla	ims, Numbers
	1-3	filed with telefax on 26.04.2005
	Dra	wings, Sheets
	1/5-	5/5 as originally filed
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:  the description, pages
		☐ the claims, Nos.
		the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specify):
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
4.		This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the plemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).
		the description, pages the claims, Nos.
		$\square$ the drawings, sheets/figs
		☐ the sequence listing (specify): ☐ any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):
;		If item 4 applies, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000395

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 1-34

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims 1-34

No: Claims

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-34

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D1: CHERN: (QELS) Trends in optics and phot. (TOPS), v. 74, 19/05/2002, pg 25-26;

D2: US 4 955 034 A (1990-09-04);

D3: BEN MESSAOUD: Organic materials for device applications, F E-MRS, vol. 138, no. 1-2, 18 June 2002 pages 347-351, XP002273018;

D4: SASAKI M: Int. Microprocesses and Nanotechn. Conf., 11-13 July 2000, vol. 39, no. 12B, pages 7145-7149, Jap. J. of Ap. Phys., Part 1, Dec. 2000.

#### Independent Claim 1 1

- 1. The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document): An optical device for providing optical amplification comprising:
  - a substrate (see paragraph 2 line 3);
  - a polymer structure (paragraph 2, line 1) provided on the substrate in a predetermined shape defined by a number of sidewalls n (paragraph 3 line 1, "square" meaning 4 walls), and being doped with an optically active medium (paragraph 3 line 1, "dye doped"), wherein the sidewalls of the structure form a cavity resonator so that an electromagnetic wave upon pumping of the device is emitted laterally (fig. 1a).

The subject-matter of claim 1 differs from this known document D1 in that in claim 1 the polymer is a photo-definable polymer, while in D1, D3 and D4 the employed polymer is PMMA which is not sufficiently photo sensitive to enable the material to be structured via

The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore new (Article 33(2) PCT).

The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as how to simplify the fabrication process of polymer laser microstructures.

The solution offered by claim 1 is to use a photo definable polymer as the Laser active material which allows for easy and versatile fabrication of the cavity without application or subsequent removal of a photo-resist. By employing the photo-resist itself as laser material several process steps can be omitted reducing both costs and time.

The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

In the prior art as disclosed by D1-D4, PMMA is used to form laser cavities. It is known to the man skilled in the art that these structures can be manufactured via photolithography or e-beam or X-ray lithography. Photolithography being the simplest and cheapest method, the man skilled in the art would chose photolithography to manufacture these laser

cavities.

D3 (and probably D1) teaches to apply the photolithography process to realize PMMA/Dye based laser cavities by applying a photoresist on top of the PMMA/dye mixed layer, as PMMA is not suitable for direct photolithography. D4 teaches the use of Silicon mold for casting the PMMA/dye mixture.

The man skilled in the art, faced with the objective problem of simplifying the fabrication process of polymer laser microstructures, would not replace PMMA as the laser material with a photo-definable polymer, for the following reasons:

- it is generally believed that photo-sensitive polymer are not suitable to act as laser material, as one would expect the laser radiation generated in the polymer to be absorbed by said polymer or worse that said laser radiation could deteriorate said polymer. D4 for instance states that the low absorption of PMMA is an advantage (paragraph linking col. 4 and 5);
- none of the prior art mention problems associated with PMMA nor do they give a hint to use an alternative material. Therefore, replacing PMMA as the active material would require a complete new research programm going clearly beyond the capacities of the ordinary skilled person.

Therefore the skilled man faced with the objective problem stated above would try to improve the fabrication methods disclosed by the prior art by optimizing process parameters but not by doing fundamental changes with respect to the lasing material.

The subject-matter of claim 1 does therefore involve an inventive step (Article 33(2) PCT).

### II Further independent Claims 2, 22, 24, 30

The same reasoning applies mutatis mutandis to the other independent claims as all of them are based on photo-definable polymer structure defining a resonator.

#### III Dependent claims

Claims 3-21, 23, 25-29, 31-34 are dependent on claims 1, 2, 22, 24, 30 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

## IV Additional comments when entering the European Phase

The set of claims on files does not meet the requirements of Rule 29(2) EPC. It would accelerate the procedure if the applicant would file only one independent claim per category on entering the EP phase.

-000-